

# Parity mixing in the TM spectrum

Chris Michael

`c.michael@liv.ac.uk`

University of Liverpool



THE UNIVERSITY  
*of* LIVERPOOL



# Hadronic Spectrum - mixing

Let me illustrate this: in a simple mass mixing model of  $\pi_0$  and  $\sigma$

In the continuum we have two states  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  which do not mix.

To order  $a$ , the  $L_5$  term (odd in parity) will induce a mixing.

This will shift the masses of the two states as well as inducing off-diagonal correlators.

This can be encapsulated by using a mass mixing matrix.

The mass mixing matrix of bare (or continuum) states  $(\pi, \sigma)$  is

$$(1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is given by  $\langle \pi | L_5 | \sigma \rangle$  (up to some normalisation factor).

The mass shift is  $-\epsilon^2/(m_2 - m_1)$  for the pion ( $\pi_0$  rel  $\pi_+$  where  $\pi_+$  mixing is negligible)

# Hadronic Spectrum - mixing II

The mixed (lattice) states are approx  $p \equiv \pi + \eta\sigma$  and  $s \equiv \sigma - \eta\pi$  with  $\eta = \epsilon/(m_2 - m_1)$ ,  $\Delta = m_2 - m_1$

The two state lattice fit with states  $p$  and  $s$  has amplitudes:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} c_5 &= \langle 0|P|p \rangle = \langle 0|P|\pi \rangle & c_1 &= \langle 0|S|p \rangle = \eta \langle 0|S|\sigma \rangle \\ d_5 &= \langle 0|P|s \rangle = -\eta \langle 0|P|\pi \rangle & d_1 &= \langle 0|S|s \rangle = \langle 0|S|\sigma \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So one can get  $\eta$  from  $\eta^2 = -(c_1/c_5) * (d_5/d_1)$

Here S and P refer to "physical basis" for clarity - (lattice results have "lattice basis" with operators S, P interchanged, Here I assume that we are at maximal twist - so that S and P are exchanged completely.) The lattice correlators are vacuum subtracted ( $\langle AB \rangle - \langle A \rangle \langle B \rangle$ ) but include fermionic disconnected loops as required.

( You can also use fuzzed operators to check this - namely

$\eta^2 = -(c_2/c_6)(d_6/d_2)$  getting a consistent result )

Also there is a cross-check that (in this two state mixing approach)

$$c_1 * c_5 = -d_1 * d_5$$

# Hadronic Spectrum - mixing III

From the fit (eg.  $\mu=0.004$   $\beta=3.9$ ), one can estimate  $\eta^2$  with bootstrap method getting 0.029(19)

Then with  $\Delta = m(s) - m(p) = 0.131(25)$ , one has expected mass shift of  $\pi_0$  (downwards) rel  $\pi_+$  due to  $L_5$  induced mixing of  $ma = \eta^2 \Delta = 0.0038(25)$  compared to observed shift of  $\pi_+ - \pi_0 = 0.027$ .

( $\mu = 0.003$ ,  $\beta = 4.05$   $\eta^2 = 0.046(17)$  so rel mass shift not smaller - but big errors)

Therefore  $L_6$  must be important compared to  $L_5$ .  $L_5$  (or my simple 2 state fit and 2 state model is over-naive).

# Mixing heavy-light mesons

$J^P = 0^-$  and  $0^+$  static-light mesons

$\mu = 0.004$ ,  $\beta = 3.9$ , levels  $E_a$  0.171 apart

Fit agrees with model and gives mixing parameter  $\eta^2 = 0.082$ .

Then  $\Delta = 0.171$  so expected mass shift  $\eta^2 \Delta = 0.014$ , so 8% effect.

More tricky here since "physical states" need Z's to evaluate them since mixing from lattice states is at  $45^\circ$ . Qualitatively situation is as above.

To be checked at  $\beta = 4.05$ .

# Mixing parity

Similar effects occur all over (in TMQCD)

$\rho \ a_1$

$D(0^-) \ D(0^+)$

$n(\frac{1}{2}^+) \ n(\frac{1}{2}^-)$

Essential to measure full correlator (including "parity-violating" part) to get at mixing and estimate possible effect on spectrum.

Also important to control effects of ground state contamination in study of excited (opposite parity) state.