Analysis of the Schrödinger functional with chirally rotated boundary conditions

A. Shindler

University of Liverpool

Work done in collaboration with K. Jansen and J. Gonzalez Lopez

Glasgow University - September 29, 2008

Outline

- Motivation
- QCD and tmQCD actions
- Schrödinger functional boundary conditions
 - Standard (SF) and twisted SF I (tSF) boundary conditions
 - ▶ Twisted SF II (γ_5 SF) boundary conditions
- Eigenvalue problem
 - SF and tSF boundary conditions
 - $ightharpoonup \gamma_5 SF$ boundary conditions
- Quark propagator in the continuum at tree level of PT
 - SF and tSF boundary conditions
 - \triangleright γ_5 SF boundary conditions
- Conclusions and outlook

Motivating twisted Schrödinger functional b.c.

- Twisted mass lattice QCD simulations:
 - want mass independent non-perturbative renormalization for $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$
 - need massless scheme simulations close to or even at the chiral point
 - ▶ keep automatic O(α) improvement (R. Frezzotti and G.C. Rossi, hep-lat/0306014):

$$\Longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\text{latt}} = \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\text{cont}} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^2)$$

- Why the Schrödinger functional scheme? (M. Lüscher et al., hep-lat/9207009)
 - ► Finite volume scheme ⇒ 'easy' simulations
 - Allows non-perturbative massless renormalization of QCD if suitable b.c. are chosen (non-zero bound)
 - Finite size techiques: non-perturbative running with renormalization scale $\frac{1}{L}$
- Why to twist the SF?
 - ▶ Wilson-type fermions with SF: bulk O(a) effects
- Twisted SF I: tSF (S. Sint, hep-lat/0511034)
- Twisted SF II: γ_5 SF (R. Frezzotti and G.C. Rossi, hep-lat/0507030)

Continuum fermion QCD and tmQCD actions

• Tree-level continuum QCD action with $N_f = 2$ mass degenerate quarks (Euclidean)

$$S_F[ar{\psi},\psi] = \int {\mbox{\it d}}^4 x \, ar{\psi}(x) \, D \, \psi(x) \quad ; \quad D := \gamma_\mu \partial_\mu + m$$

Non-singlet axial rotation:

$$\psi(x) = e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}\gamma_5\tau^3} \chi(x) \qquad \bar{\psi}(x) = \bar{\chi}(x) e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}\gamma_5\tau^3}$$

Tree-level continuum twisted mass QCD action

$$S_F^{tm}[\bar{\chi},\chi] = \int d^4x \, \bar{\chi}(x) \, D_{tm} \, \chi(x) \quad ; \quad D_{tm} := \gamma_\mu \partial_\mu + m_q + i \mu_q \gamma_5 \tau^3$$

QCD and tmQCD are equivalent in the continuum (same physics)

On the lattice at maximal twist (
$$\alpha=\frac{\pi}{2}\Leftrightarrow m_{Q}=0$$
)

automatic O(a) improvement

(R. Frezzotti and G.C. Rossi, hep-lat/0306014), (K. Cichy et al., arXiv:0802.3637)

SF boundaries: standard (SF) and twisted I (tSF)

Dirichlet b.c. in the time direction (S. Sint, hep-lat/9312079, M. Lüscher, hep-lat/0603029)

$$\begin{aligned} P_+\psi(x)|_{x_0=0} &= 0 & P_-\psi(x)|_{x_0=T} &= 0 & \text{via } \mathcal{T} \\ \bar{\psi}(x)P_-|_{x_0=0} &= 0 & \text{via } \mathcal{C} & \bar{\psi}(x)P_+|_{x_0=T} &= 0 & \text{via } \mathcal{T} \text{ and } \mathcal{C} \\ \\ P_\pm &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{1} \pm \gamma_0\right) \end{aligned}$$

Maximally twisted SF (tSF) boundary conditions (S. Sint, hep-lat/0511034)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_+\chi(x)|_{x_0=0} &= 0 & Q_-\chi(x)|_{x_0=T} &= 0 & \text{via } \mathcal{T}_{\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ \bar{\chi}(x)Q_+|_{x_0=0} &= 0 & \text{via } \mathcal{C} & \bar{\chi}(x)Q_-|_{x_0=T} &= 0 & \text{via } \mathcal{T}_{\frac{\pi}{2}} & \text{and } \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

$$Q_{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{1} \pm i \gamma_0 \gamma_5 \tau^3 \right)$$

SF⇔tSF related by the same non-singlet axial rotation as QCD⇔tmQCD

SF: obeys the discrete symmetries of QCD (\mathcal{CP} and \mathcal{T})

tSF: obeys the discrete symmetries of twisted mass QCD (\mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{P}_{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{\pi}{2}}$)

SF boundaries: twisted II (γ_5 SF)

(R. Frezzotti and G.C. Rossi, hep-lat/0507030)

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{+}\phi(x)|_{x_{0}=0} &= 0 & \Pi_{-}\phi(x)|_{x_{0}=T} = 0 \quad \text{via } \mathcal{T} \\ \bar{\phi}(x)\Pi_{-}|_{x_{0}=0} &= 0 \quad \text{via } \mathcal{C}_{F}^{1,2} & \bar{\phi}(x)\Pi_{+}|_{x_{0}=T} = 0 \quad \text{via } \mathcal{T} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{F}^{1,2} \\ & \Pi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \, \left(\mathbb{1} \pm \gamma_{5} \tau^{3} \right) \end{split}$$

CPT is still symmetry

No continuum transformation between SF $\leftrightarrow \gamma_5$ SF

Discrete symmetries different from both QCD and tmQCD

Check for the existence of a lower bound of the eigenvalue spectrum: SF and tSF

(S. Sint, hep-lat/9312079)

- Quadratic form of the action
- Well defined eigenvalue problem

Neumann b.c. for the complementary components

$$\begin{split} \text{SF} : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\partial_0 - m) P_- \psi(x) |_{x_0 = 0} = 0, & (\partial_0 + m) P_+ \psi(x) |_{x_0 = \overline{I}} = 0 \\ \overline{\psi}(x) P_+ (\partial_0 + m) |_{x_0 = 0} = 0, & \overline{\psi}(x) P_- (\partial_0 - m) |_{x_0 = \overline{I}} = 0 \end{array} \right. \\ \text{tSF} : \left\{ (\partial_0 - \underline{\mu_q}) Q_- \chi(x) |_{x_0 = 0} = 0, & (\partial_0 + \underline{\mu_q}) Q_+ \chi(x) |_{x_0 = \overline{I}} = 0 \right. \end{split}$$

Form of the boundaries

D[†]D has a discrete spectrum with a lower non-zero bound

$$\{\lambda_0^2(m=0)\}_{SF} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2T}\right)^2 \qquad \{\lambda_0^2(\mu_q=0)\}_{tSF} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2T}\right)^2 + m_q^2$$

Check for the existence of a lower bound of the eigenvalue spectrum: γ_5 SF

- Quadratic form of the action for each flavour
- Well defined eigenvalue problem

$$(m_q + i\mu_q \gamma_5 \tau_3) \Pi_- \phi(x)|_{x_0=0} = 0$$

$$(m_q + i\mu_q \gamma_5 \tau_3) \Pi_+ \phi(x)|_{x_0 = T} = 0$$

Non-zero mass: Dirichlet b.c. for complementary components only trivial solution

Zero mass: possible non trivial solution

possible zero eigenvalue

Independent from the form of the mass term

Quark propagator: SF and tSF b.c.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{SF} : \begin{cases} & D\left(x\right) \, S^{\mathrm{SF}}\left(x,y\right) = \delta^{4}\left(x-y\right) & 0 < x_{0}, y_{0} < T \\ & P_{+}S^{\mathrm{SF}}\left(x,y\right)|_{x_{0}=0} = 0 & P_{-}S^{\mathrm{SF}}\left(x,y\right)|_{x_{0}=T} = 0 \end{cases} \\ & \mathbf{tSF} : \begin{cases} & D_{\mathrm{mtm}}\left(x\right) \, S^{\mathrm{tSF}}\left(x,y\right) = \delta^{4}\left(x-y\right) & 0 < x_{0}, y_{0} < T \\ & Q_{+}S^{\mathrm{tSF}}\left(x,y\right)|_{x_{0}=0} = 0 & Q_{-}S^{\mathrm{tSF}}\left(x,y\right)|_{x_{0}=T} = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Boundary conditions on the right side satisfied

$$S^{SF}(x, y)P_{-}|_{y_{0}=0} = 0$$
 $S^{SF}(x, y)P_{+}|_{y_{0}=\overline{I}} = 0$ $S^{tSF}(x, y)Q_{+}|_{y_{0}=\overline{I}} = 0$ $S^{tSF}(x, y)Q_{-}|_{y_{0}=\overline{I}} = 0$

Existence of unique and non-trivial propagator in both cases

$$S^{\rm SF}(x,y)\leftrightarrow S^{\rm tSF}(x,y)$$
 by the non-singlet axial rotation $S^{\rm tSF}(x,y)=e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\gamma_5\tau^3}\,S^{\rm SF}(x,y)\,e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\gamma_5\tau^3}$

Quark propagator: γ_5 SF b.c.

$$D(x) S(x,y) = \delta^{4}(x-y) \qquad 0 < x_{0}, y_{0} < T$$

$$\Pi_{+}S(x,y)|_{x_{0}=0} = 0 \qquad \Pi_{-}S(x,y)|_{x_{0}=T} = 0$$

Boundary conditions on the right side NOT satisfied

$$S(x, y)\Pi_{-}|_{y_0=0} \neq 0$$
 $S(x, y)\Pi_{+}|_{y_0=T} \neq 0$
only trivial solution

It satisfies the boundaries obtained by charge conjugation

$$S(x, y)\Pi_{+}|_{y_0=0} = 0$$
 $S(x, y)\Pi_{-}|_{y_0=T} = 0$
 \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{CPT} violation

Conclusions and Outlook

- Studied properties of three different ways to implement SF b.c. by:
 - eigenvalue spectrum
 - quark propagator
- Conclusion:
 - SF and tSF: sound definition of QCD with SF b.c.
 - $ightharpoonup \gamma_5 SF$: open questions, need further investigations
- Ongoing work with tSF b.c.:
 - already obtained the lattice tree-level propagator with tSF
 - first numerical checks at tree-level of PT
- Near future:
 - ► lattice perturbation theory
 - ightharpoonup QCD simulations with $N_f = 4$

Acknowledgments

- In collaboration with
 - DESY
 - ► HU-DESY
- Thanks to
 - HU zu Berlin
 - Berlin
 - Dublin
 - Rome

K. Jansen J. Gonzalez Lopez

M. Müller Preussker

SFB-TR9

Theory group at DESY, Zeuthen

S. Sint

R. Frezzotti and G. C. Rossi